

# A CORRECTION AND SOME ADDITIONS TO "REPARAMETRIZATION OF $n$ -FLOWS OF ZERO ENTROPY"

BY

J. FELDMAN AND D. NADLER

**ABSTRACT.** In addition to correcting an error in the previously mentioned paper, we show that if  $v \mapsto \varphi_v$  and  $w \mapsto \psi_w$  on  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $n$ - and  $m$ -flows, respectively, then the  $(n + m)$ -flow  $(v, w) \mapsto \varphi_v \times \psi_w$  on  $X \times Y$  is "loosely Kronecker" if and only if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are.

There is a silly and easily correctable mistake in our paper [4]. Recall that an  $n$ -flow is a free, ergodic, probability-preserving action of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We constructed in [4] an action  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  as follows:  $t \mapsto T_t$  was defined as a suspension over the non-LB, ergodic, zero-entropy transformation of [2]. Then, for an  $(n - 1)$ -vector  $u$ ,  $\varphi_{(t,u)}$  was defined as  $T_t \cdot \varphi$  is indeed ergodic and probability-preserving, but it is not free, so of course it is not an  $n$ -flow.

The purpose of the construction was to produce a zero-entropy  $n$ -flow which is not LK in the sense of [4]. First, we would like to change terminology, and use the term "standard" (as in Katok [5]) rather than "LK". The object, then, is to construct a nonstandard  $n$ -flow of zero entropy. One way would be to fix up the prior example as follows: let the above flow  $T$  act on  $(Y, \nu)$ , and let  $\theta$  be any  $(n - 1)$ -flow on a space  $(Z, \rho)$ . Then  $\varphi_{(t,u)} = T_t \times \theta_u$  will be a nonstandard  $n$ -flow of zero entropy. That it is nonstandard may be seen as in the argument given at the end of [4] and it is easy to see that it has zero entropy. However, we now give a sketch of a more enlightening approach to the matter.

First, we point out

**LEMMA 1.** *A standard  $n$ -flow has entropy zero.*

The easiest way to see this is to use the ideas of  $r$ -entropy, from [3]: to say  $\varphi$  is standard is to say that for large  $N$ , most  $C_N$  names for  $(\varphi, \mathcal{P})$  are  $f_N$ -close. The Lebesgue continuity theorem then may be used to get an exponentially small bound on the number of sets of  $d_N$  diameter  $r$  which are required to cover most of the space on which  $\varphi$  acts.  $\square$

Hereafter, let  $\psi$  be an  $l$ -flow on  $(Y, \nu)$  and  $\theta$  an  $m$ -flow on  $(Z, \rho)$ . If  $\varphi_{(t,u)} = \psi_t \times \theta_u$ , then  $\varphi$  is an  $(l + m)$ -flow on  $(Y \times Z, \nu \times \rho)$ .

**LEMMA 2.**  *$\varphi$  as above necessarily has entropy zero.*

**INDICATION OF PROOF.** By using partitions of the form  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S}$  where  $h(\psi, \mathcal{R})$  and  $h(\theta, \mathcal{S})$  are finite, we may reduce to the case where  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  have finite

---

Received by the editors February 11, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28D10, 28D15.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/81/0000-0171/\$01.75

entropy. But now the result follows directly from the definition of entropy, essentially because  $(l + m)N/N^{l+m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . See [1] for discussions of this type in the discrete case.

LEMMA 3.  $\varphi$  as above is standard if and only if both  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are.

PROOF. If both  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are standard, then for any partition of the form  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S}$ , the process  $(\psi \times \theta, \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S})$  may be seen to be standard by doing  $f$ -matching for  $(\psi, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\theta, \mathcal{S})$  separately, and then combining.

To go in the other direction, one may use a similar argument to that at the end of [4] to make a reduction of dimension. Here are the details.

Suppose  $\varphi$  is standard. Choose a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} = \{P \times Z : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a partition of  $Y \times Z$ . So, referring to the definitions in §3 of [4], we see that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $M > 0$  such that if  $M < N$  there is a set  $E_N \subset Y \times Z$  with  $\nu \times \rho(E_N) > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $f_N^{\mathcal{R}}(x, x') < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x, x' \in E_N$ . There is thus some  $z \in Z$  so that if we set  $F_N = \{y : (y, z) \in E_N\}$  then  $\nu(F_N) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Now, if  $t \in R^l$  and  $u \in R^m$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(y, z)(t, u) = \mathcal{P}(y)(t)$ , independent of  $z$ . So  $f_N^{\mathcal{R}}((y, t), (y', t')) < \varepsilon$  provided  $y, y' \in F_N$ . So for any such  $y, y'$ , and any  $z, z'$ , there is some  $h \in \mathcal{D}_{C_N^{l+m}}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|C_N^{l+m}|} \int_{C_N^{l+m}} \delta(\mathcal{R}(y, z)(h(t, u)), \mathcal{R}(y', z')(t, u)) dt du < \varepsilon.$$

(Since there are different dimensions to worry about, we now denote the  $N$ -cube in  $R^p$  by  $C_N^p$ .) Rewriting, and writing  $h(t, u)$  as  $(j(t, u), k(t, u))$ , where  $j : R^{l+m} \rightarrow R^l$  and  $k : R^{l+m} \rightarrow R^m$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|C_N^l|} \frac{1}{|C_N^m|} \int_{C_N^l} \int_{C_N^m} \delta(\mathcal{P}(y)(j(t, u)), \mathcal{R}(y')(t)) dt du < \varepsilon;$$

so for some  $u_0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{|C_N^l|} \int_{C_N^l} \delta(\mathcal{P}(y)(j(t, u_0)), \mathcal{R}(y')(t)) dt < \varepsilon.$$

Set  $i(t) = j(t, u_0)$ .  $i$  is a differentiable function from  $C_N^l$  to  $C_N^l$  leaving fixed a neighborhood of the boundary. Furthermore  $\|i' - I_{R^l}\|_\infty \leq \|h' - I_{R^{l+m}}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Finally, assuming  $\varepsilon < 1$ , we have  $\|i'(y) - I_{R^l}\| < 1$  for each  $y$ , so  $i$  is locally invertible (by the Inverse Function Theorem), so—since  $C_N^l$  is simply connected— $i$  is globally invertible, i.e.  $i \in \mathcal{D}_{C_N^l}$ . Thus  $f_N^{\mathcal{P}}(y, y') < 2\varepsilon$  for all  $y, y' \in F_N$ . But  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, so we are done.  $\square$

It is now easy to produce, for  $n \geq 2$ , examples of nonstandard  $n$ -flows of zero entropy: just take  $\psi$  to be a 1-flow of positive entropy, and  $\theta$  any  $(n - 1)$ -flow whatsoever. Then by Lemma 2,  $\varphi$  will have zero entropy. It cannot be standard, because if it were, then by Lemma 3,  $\psi$  would also be standard, and therefore by Lemma 1 would have to have entropy zero.

Alternatively, one could, as in [4], take  $\psi$  to be some nonstandard 1-flow of zero entropy. Such examples are provided by proving the following fact:

LEMMA 4. A flow is standard in the present sense if and only if it is LB in the sense of [2] and of zero entropy, or, equivalently, standard in the sense of [5].

The proof is a fairly routine application of the definitions. This construction is in principle more difficult, in that it already needs the existence of non-LB flows in one dimension. However, it may be useful in constructing uncountably many different equivalence classes.

The first construction, setting  $\varphi_{(t,u)} = \psi_t \times \theta_u$  with  $\psi$  of positive entropy, raises the interesting possibility of exhibiting some "natural" equivalence classes other than the standard class, among the entropy zero  $n$ -flows,  $n \geq 2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. P. Conze, *Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete **25** (1972), 11–30.
2. J. Feldman, *New  $K$ -automorphisms and a problem of Kakutani*, Israel J. Math. **24** (1976), 16–37.
3. ———,  *$n$ -entropy, equipartition, and Ornstein's isomorphism theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , preprint, Univ. California, Berkeley; Israel J. Math. (to appear).
4. J. Feldman and D. Nadler, *Reparametrization of  $n$ -flows of zero entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **256** (1979), 289–304.
5. A. Katok, *Time change, monotone equivalence, and standard dynamical systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **273** (1975), 789–792. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024